

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,256	10/049,256 05/03/2002		Frank Joseph Garvey	148/291	8425	
23638	7590	04/22/2003				
ADAM EV			EXAM	EXAMINER		
2180 TWO	WACHOV	wartz & Evans, P.A /IA CENTER	STRIMBU, GREGORY J			
CHARLOT	TE, NC 2	8282		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				3634		
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
0.55	10/049,256	GARVEY, FRANK JOS	SEPH
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for R ply	ars on the cover shet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims			erits is
4) Claim(s) 14-25 is/are pending in the application	٦,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2002</u> is/are: a)□] accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional app	lication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1/2.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 3634

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the slots must be shown or the feature canceled from claim 24. No new matter should be entered.

The drawings are objected to because the applicant has failed to use the proper cross sectional shading when showing a cross sectional view of the invention. For example, see figure 1 which shows a cross sectional view of the finger protector device but fails to use a cross sectional shading in accordance with MPEP 608.02.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "flexible means" on line 2 of claim 14 render the claims indefinite because the applicant has attempted to use a "means" clause to recite

Art Unit: 3634

441 11 0004

a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding or following "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See Ex parte Klumb, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967). Recitations such as "connected" on line 2 of claim 20 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear to what element of the invention the applicant is referring. In other words, what elements are connected? Recitations such as "the or each" on line 1 of claim 22 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is setting forth "the" or "each". Recitations such as "pre-biased at about 90 degrees" is confusing because it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to set forth. What does the applicant mean by "prebiased"? Recitations such as "may have" on line 2 of claim 24 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the surfaces have the slots or may merely have the opportunity to have the slots. Recitations such as "mounted thereto" on line 2 of claim 24 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear how a slot can be mounted to a surface when it appears that a slot would be in a surface. Recitations such as "a first mounting portion" on line 2 of claim 25 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the mounting portion set forth above or is attempting to set forth another mounting portion in addition to the one set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3634

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lucas et al. Lucas et al. discloses a finger protection device 14 comprising first 28, second 27 and third 26 members, the second member being connected between the first and third members by flexible means 52 and 37, the first member is arranged, in use, to be mounted to a first surface 11 and the third member is arranged, in use, to be mounted to a second surface 12, in which relative movement of the first and second surfaces is possible, mounting portions 25 and 30

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucas as applied to claims 14-21 and 25 above, and further in view of Danish Patent Publication No. 9301278. Danish Patent Publication No. 9301278 discloses a finger protection device comprising mounting portions which are thicker than the remaining portions of the protection device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Lucas with thicker mounting portions, to increase the strength of the mounting portions.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucas as applied to claims 14-21 and 25 above, and further in view of British Patent Publication No. 2 138 478. British Patent Publication No. 2 138 478 discloses a finger

Art Unit: 3634

protection device 1 comprising mounting portions 5 and 6 which are each attached to a member 3, 4, respectively by a joint which is pre-biased at about 90 degrees.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Lucas with joints, as taught by British Patent Publication No. 2 138 478, to ensure the finger protection device is properly biased away from the door and door frame when the door is closed.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucas as applied to claims 14-21 and 25 above, and further in view of British Patent Publication No. 2 314 112. British Patent Publication No. 2 314 112 discloses a finger protector device comprising slots for connecting a mounting portion to a surface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Lucas with slots, as taught by British Patent Publication No. 2 314 112, to more securely mount the mounting portions to the surfaces.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Teinturier-Milgram, Sankey et al. and Hanson are cited for disclosing finger protector devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-

Art Unit: 3634

305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634 April 17, 2003